

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,225	01/26/2004	Hong-chan Park	1349.1352	6551
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 02/12/200° LSEY LLP	7	EXAMINER	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			CAO, CHUN	
WASHINGTO	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2115	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/763,225	PARK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Chun Cao	2115		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address		
Period for Reply	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuany 2004			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·		
•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> is/are rejected.		•		
7) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · ·	• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<u> </u>		0(-) (-) (5)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 118	3(a)-(a) or (t).		
	s have been received			
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·			
application from the International Bureau	•	sived in this Hational Otage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eived.		
	The state of the s			
•				
Attachment(s)	.			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Application/Control Number: 10/763,225

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The current title is imprecise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 10-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwata (Iwata), U.S. patent no. 6,865,621.

As per claim 1, Iwata discloses a mobile device [a laptop computer, col. 4, line 40], comprising:

a plurality of modules [figures 1, 3; col. 4, lines 22-55];

a control unit executing a predetermined program that uses at least one of the plurality of modules based on a program initiation control signal [col. 2, lines 25-31; col. 6, lines 36-45];

a power supply providing power to drive the plurality of modules; and a power control unit receiving information on the program that is executed by the control unit and

selectively, based on predetermined selection information, supplying the power to the at least one module [col. 3, lines 15-34; col. 6, lines 36-54; col. 7, lines 15-19].

As per claim 2, Iwata discloses that the predetermined selection information limits power consumption of the mobile device to only modules necessary for the predetermined program execution [col. 3, lines 15-34; col. 6, lines 36-54; col. 7, lines 15-19].

As per claim 3, Iwata discloses that the predetermined selection information comprises information on which modules are to be driven based on the information from the control unit of which program has been executed [col. 3, lines 15-34; col. 6, lines 36-54; col. 7, lines 15-19].

As per claim 5, Iwata discloses that any one of the plurality of modules visually displays a result obtained by processing the executed program [col. 4, line 48; col. 6, lines 15-23].

As per claim 6, Iwata discloses that any one of the of the plurality of modules comprises a display device for the visual displaying of the result obtained by the processing of the executed program [col. 4, line 48; col. 6, lines 15-23].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2115

6. Claims 7-9, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwata (lwata), U.S. patent no. 6,865,621 in view of Silvester (Silvester), US patent no. 6,631,469.

As per claim 7, Iwata does not explicitly disclose a display device controlled by the control unit to display a menu window for setting the predetermined selection information.

Silvester discloses that a display device controlled by the control unit to display a menu window for setting the predetermined selection information [fig. 4; col. 3, lines 29-50].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention to combine the teachings of Iwata and Silvester because they both teach power consumption of a portable computer system, and the specify teachings of Silvester stated above would optimize the performance of Iwata system by allowing a user to set the selection information.

As per claim 8, Silvester discloses that the menu window comprises a menu displaying the respective modules; a check box menu provided on one side of the displayed menu; and a setting menu selecting and modifying a value set in the check box menu [fig. 4; col. 3, lines 29-50].

As per claim 9, Silvester discloses that the setting menu modifies which modules are to be powered when at least the predetermined program is executed [col. 3, lines 29-50].

As to claims 10-18, claims 1-3 and 5-9 basically are the corresponding elements that are carried out the method of operating steps in claims 10-18. Accordingly, claims 10-18 are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 1-3 and 5-9.

As to claims 19- 21, Iwata teaches the claimed method of steps. Therefore, Iwata teaches the recording medium storing a computer program to carry out the method of steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion •

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,225 Page 6

Art Unit: 2115

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Feb. 8 2007

CHUN CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER